**DICKSTEIN**SHAPIROLLP

# RWU Oil Spill Conference April 13, 2011

Sue or Settle?

dicksteinshapiro.com | Experience Innovation

© COPYRIGHT 2011. DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

#### The Problem

- Hundreds of thousands claim economic loss, damage, injury as a result of the BP Oil Spill.
- Claims include death, personal injury, property damage, loss to business, natural resources damage.
- Litigants include federal and state governments, individuals, businesses of various types in disparate industries and locations.
- There are over 300 separate cases (including class actions and a consolidated MDL proceeding for pre trial purposes.
- The Gulf Coast Claims Facility has received over 500,000 claims.

# What is the Solution?

- How can we address these claims?
- Individual claimants have real life issues and immediate needs
- Will the litigation system meet those needs?
- Is there an alternative?
- What alternatives have been employed in other mass claim situations?

#### What are the Alternatives?

 In the past ten to fifteen years, many parties involved in mass products liability actions/mass accidents/disasters have resolved their claims through some form of an alternative compensation program.

# Mass Resolution Programs - Overview

- How are these mass resolution programs structured and how have these mass resolution programs worked?
  - Types of programs:
    - Court supervised settlements class actions/bankruptcy trusts
    - Statutory programs
    - "Voluntary" programs

#### Overview

- Characteristics/Examples
  - Court supervised programs:
    - General characteristics: a settlement typically achieved in the context of a class action or perhaps a bankruptcy case. The settlement terms will outline general criteria for "eligible" claims, a "schedule" of or formula for determining compensation amounts; defined documentation to support claims.
    - Often designed to run for multiple years.
    - Typically plaintiffs' law firms have a significant role in overseeing and implementing settlement terms.
    - Typically funded by the defendants that would be/have been sued

#### Overview

- Statutory Program
  - Few examples
  - Governed by terms of statute and implementing regulations
  - Time limits
  - May be funded by tax payers or possibly by entities that receive limitations on their exposure as a result of the statutory program
  - Might require a choice between litigation and settlement

#### Overview

#### • Voluntary/Private "Self Help"

- No prohibition on entity providing voluntary claims resolution
  - Except in context of class litigation
  - Examples: breast implant companies maintained such programs ultimately came under court scrutiny

# Why Opt for Alternative Resolution Program

- Can provide quicker resolution
- Can incorporate mechanisms to treat like claims alike
- Can be flexible in some situations to provide emergency relief
- Can be administered at relatively lower cost than litigation
- Can provide relative certainty for all parties/limit risk

# **Alternative Compensation Programs**

- What are the downsides?
  - Claimants may get lower recoveries (but more claimants might recover)
  - If the program is set up too soon, it may offer inappropriate compensation
  - Limits the discovery of information that might be pertinent to understanding the risks of a product or the cause of the disaster
  - If not well administered, can create inequities

#### **Examples**

- Examples:
  - Silicone Gel Breast Implant Settlement
    - Claims of disease and disfigurement injuries as a result of use of silicone gel implants
    - Product first marketed mid 1960s
    - Few claims until television program in 1991
    - Litigation commenced in earnest in 1992; hundreds of cases filed/nearly two dozen class actions

# **Examples: Breast Implant Resolution**

- Ultimately over 400,000 claims filed
- Resolved primarily through two administrative compensation programs – one a class action settlement and the other a bankruptcy resolution
- Created a schedule of compensable conditions, required documentation and compensation amounts
- Designed to run for 15 years from date of inception

#### **Breast Implant Example**

- Amount paid out in bankruptcy resolution since June 2004 -\$1.16B
- Claims paid: 101,000 (claims not claimants)
- Payment range: \$600 to \$300,000

## **Breast Implant Example**

- Governance Characteristics
  - Court supervision
  - Court appointed administrator and advisors
  - Plan established representatives of both debtor and claimants with authority to participate in implementation of the plan
  - Disputes about interpretation of the plan and settlement criteria are litigated before the court.
  - Individual claim determinations are made by claims administrator and cannot be appealed to court.
  - Plan terms/criteria subject to provisions of bankruptcy code which prohibit material modification.

# Asbestos Trusts

- Dozens of bankruptcy filings resulting in compensation through trusts established under reorganization plan
- Trusts pay claims based on disease category
- Claim values established by historical experience
- Claimants retain right to litigate against trust but historically have not pursued that option
- High claim volume Manville Trust has over 800,000 claims
- Estimated value of assets in the newer trusts: \$40 B

© COPYRIGHT 2011. DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

# Asbestos Trusts

- Supervised by Court
- Litigation continues against solvent defendants
- Issue of treatment of recoveries in bankruptcy trusts in connection with the claims in litigation
- Likely to continue operations until 2045

## **Statutory Program**

- Statutory Program: 9/11 Victims Fund
  - Enacted as part of Air Stabilization and Transportation Safety Act
  - Established administrative compensation program for victims of 9/11 attacks
  - Alternative to litigation participation in process resulted in waiver of litigation right
  - Uncapped i.e. no limit on aggregate compensation
  - Implemented through regulations that were subject to public comment process

# 9/11 Fund

- 9/11 Victims Fund
  - Regulations established compensation guidelines and concepts
  - Each claim reviewed and evaluated based on individual circumstances
  - Claimants were required to submit specific information: for those killed in the attacks, information required consisted primarily of compensation data, dependants, age, employment information. For those injured – medical documentation of injury and prognosis.

#### 9/11 Fund - Duration/Results

- Program operated for under three years
- 7400 claims submitted
- 5560 claims approved and paid
  - 2880 death claims paid \$5.9B
  - 2680 injury claims paid \$1.05B
  - Less than 100 claims relating to those killed rejected the Fund and decided to litigate instead.
  - Last remaining claim about to start trial ten years after the event – no other death claims have been tried.

# 9/11 - Results

- 9/11 Victims Fund
  - Payments ranged from \$500 to over \$8.5M
  - Compensation method based generally on tort system concept of computing damages – lost future wages, non economic loss, lost services but without requirement to show liability.
  - Consequently, all claimants received compensation (except those injury claimants who could not show that they were at the site or who did not seek medical treatment as required by the regulations.
  - Claimants received different amounts depending on their income levels, age, number of dependants

- The GCCF is a hybrid
- The obligation stems from a statute in part but the origin of this "fund" is different in scope and structure.
- Requirement to establish compensation program in Oil Pollution Act of 1990. Gulf Coast Claims Facility has expanded scope to address claims not covered by OPA.
- There is no formal "oversight" of this Facility. However, the court overseeing the litigation has established certain guidelines
- The GCCF administrator operates under an agreement between BP and the DOJ. Presumably either of those entities could terminate the facility.

- Provides funds for short term loss
- Scheduled to run for at least three years
- As of April 11 the GCCF has received 502,774 claims (over 400,000 from individuals/the remainder from businesses)
- The GCCF has paid 174,541 claimants \$3.7 B. Of that, \$2.5 B was paid for "emergency advanced payment."



- Program covers claims for injury, death, property damage, clean up costs, lost earnings or profits, loss of subsistence use of natural resources
- The bulk of the claims paid fall into the "lost earnings or profits" category
- The payments range from under \$5000 to over \$500,000.
- The vast majority of payments are in the \$10,000 to \$25,000 range

- The largest number of paid claims for individual lost earnings come from the food beverage and lodging industry.
- The next largest category of paid claims for individual lost earnings is the retail, sales and service category.

- Issues Faced
  - Determining actual loss (documentation)
  - Determining "cause"
  - Determining future damages/loss
  - Should claimants accept final payments now with full releases?
  - Ethical issues
  - Effect on litigation